Reductive Ti-crossed Claisen Condensation between Methyl α-Bromocarboxylates and Acid Chlorides Utilizing a TiCl₄–PPh₃–N-Methylimidazole Reagent

Akira Iida, Syogo Nakazawa, Hidefumi Nakatsuji, Tomonori Misaki, and Yoo Tanabe* Department of Chemistry, School of Science and Technology, Kwansei Gakuin University, 2-1 Gakuen, Sanda 669-1337

(Received September 20, 2006; CL-061095; E-mail: tanabe@kwansei.ac.jp)

Reductive Ti-crossed Claisen condensation between methyl α -bromocarboxylates and acid chlorides utilizing a TiCl₄–PPh₃–N-methylimidazole reagent proceeded smoothly to give the α -monosubstituted and thermodynamically unfavorable α, α -disubstituted β -keto methyl esters in good to excellent yields (33 examples; 73–96% yield).

The Claisen condensation is recognized as a fundamental and useful C–C bond-forming reaction for obtaining β -keto esters in organic syntheses. This reaction is categorized into two types; (i) traditional base-mediated condensations using MOR (M = Na and K), LDA, MHMDS (M = Li, Na, and K), and MH (M = Na and K), and (ii) the Ti-Claisen condensation. The major problem of the Claisen condensation lies in the difficulty in controlling the direction of the reaction: the reaction of a mixture of two different esters, each of which possesses an α -hydrogen, generally affords all four products. To solve this problem, we recently reported a Ti-crossed Claisen condensation and a NaOH-catalyzed crossed Claisen condensation.

Hashimoto and co-workers reported an original reductive Ti-crossed Claisen condensation and related reactions using α -bromothioesters promoted by a Lewis acid–PPh₃ reagent. This reductive Ti-crossed Claisen condensation, however, uses less accessible and less atom-economical 2,4,6-triisopropyl-phenyl α -bromothioesters and the yield of four examples was moderate (50–78%). As part of our ongoing project to develop practical Claisen condensations, here an efficient reductive Ti-crossed Claisen condensation between a 1:1 mixture of methyl α -bromocarboxylates 1 and acid chlorides using a TiCl₄–PPh₃–N-methylimidazole reagent. The present method provides not only accessible α -monosubstituted β -keto esters 2, but also thermodynamically unfavorable α , α -disubstituted β -keto esters 3 (Scheme 1).

The initial attempt was guided by the reaction between methyl 2-bromohexanoate and cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride using a TiCl₄–PPh₃ reagent (Scheme 2). The desired β -keto ester, however, was obtained in low yield (33%) with ca. 10% of undesirable self-condensation product, methyl 2-butyl-3-oxooctanoate (mainly, decomposed cyclohexanecarboxylic acid). To solve the problem, DMAP or *N*-methylimidazole

$$R^{1}$$
 CI + R^{2} R^{3} CI R^{2} CI R^{3} R^{2} R^{3} R^{2} R^{3} R^{3} R^{2} R^{3} R^{3} R^{2} R^{3} $R^{$

Scheme 1.

OMe
$$\frac{\text{TiCl}_4 - \text{PPh}_3 - \text{additive}}{/ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, -45 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}, 1 \text{ h}} = \text{OM}$$

$$\text{additive}; \quad \text{none} \qquad (33\% \text{ yield}, \quad \text{cross/self} = 78/22)$$

$$\text{DMAP} \qquad (88\% \text{ yield}, \quad \text{cross/self} = 95/5)$$

$$\text{N} \land \text{NMe} \quad (89\% \text{ yield}, \quad \text{cross/self} = 99/1)$$

Scheme 2

was employed as a co-catalyst, because acid chlorides are activated by these amines.^{3,7,8} As expected, the yield was markedly increased (88 and 89%). *N*-methylimidazole was chosen as the key co-catalyst based on its higher *cross/self* selectivity (99/1) and cost-effectiveness.

Table 1 lists the successful results of the present reductive Ti-crossed Claisen condensations between bromoesters 1a-1c and acid chlorides to obtain various α -monoalkyl- β -ketoesters

Table 1. Crossed Claisen condensation between methyl α -bromo- α -monosubstituted esters 1a-1c and acid chlorides

O R¹ CI + R² OMe
$$\xrightarrow{\text{OMe}}$$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{TiCl}_4 \cdot \text{PPh}_3 \cdot \text{N} \cap \text{NMe}}$ O O O OMe (1.0 equiv.) $\xrightarrow{\text{In: R}^2 = \text{Me}}$ 1b: R² = Bu 1c: R² = *i*-Pr

Entry	Acid chloride	α -Bromo-ester	Yield /% ^a	Cross/Self ^b
1	Ö	1a	95	98/2
2	\\\alpha\\\ci	1b	96	99/1
3	' '8	1c	86	99/1
4	O	1b	93	99/1
5	CI CI	1c	86	99/1
6	o O	1a	81	99/1
7	CI	1b	76	99/1
8	<u> </u>	1b	94	99/1
9	CI	1c	82	99/1
10	0	1a	90	99/1
11	CI	1b	77	99/1
12		1c	83	99/1
13	O	1a	85	99/1
14	CI CI	1b	93	99/1
15	0 0	1a	84	97/3
16	MeO CI	1b	91	99/1
17	CI, P	1a	74	97/3
18	CI	1b	74	98/2

^aIsolated. ^bDetermined by ¹HNMR measurement.

Table 2. Crossed Claisen condensation between methyl α -bro-mo- α , α -disubstituted esters **1d–1f** and acid chlorides

Entry	Acid chloride	α -Bromoester	Yield/% ^a
1	0	1d	83
2	CI	1e	84
3	Ü	1f	94
4	O	1d	77
5	CI	1e	82
6	·	1f	90
7	O	1d	81
8	CI	1e	73
9		1f	85
10	O	1d	79
11	CI CI	1e	79
12	Ü	1f	81
13	0 0	1d	83
14	MeO CI	1e	84
15		1f	87

^aIsolated.

2 (18 examples; 74–96%, *cross:self* = 97:3–99:1). Note that the present reaction had consistently high *cross*-selectivity. This result is consistent with the those of a Ti-crossed Claisen condensation. A terminal double bond, Cl atom, and methyl ester, cyclopropane functionalities were tolerated during the present reaction.

Next, we turned our attention to the reaction using methyl α -bromo- α , α -disubstituted carboxylates **1d–1f**. Because the desired β -keto ester product **3** lacks the ability to form stable β -keto ester metal enolates, the retro-Claisen condensation generally predominates. Nonetheless, the desired reaction proceeded smoothly. Table 2 lists the successful results of the present protocol for obtaining various α , α -dialkyl- β -ketoesters **3** (15 examples; 73–94%).

A plausible reaction mechanism is as follows. Acid chrorides couple with *N*-methylimidazole to form reactive acylammonium intermediate **4**. As Hashimoto's group proposed,⁵ titanium enolate **5** is generated from α -bromoesters **1** by the action of TiCl₄–Ph₃P. Finally, Ti-Claisen condensation between **4** and **5** proceeds to give β -ketoesters **2** or **3** (Scheme 3).

In conclusion, we developed a reductive Ti-crossed Claisen condensation between a 1:1 mixture of acid chlorides and methyl

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ R^{1} \\ CI \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} N \\ N \\ N \end{array} \\ NMe \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} CI \\ R^{1} \\ N \\ N \\ NMe \end{bmatrix} \\ A \\ N \\ NMe \\ A \\ N \\ NMe \\ NMe \\ NMe \\ N \\ NMe \\ NMe$$

Scheme 3.

 α -bromocarboxylates. The present method will provide a new protocol for the synthesis of a variety of α -mono or α , α -disubstituted β -keto esters.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research on Basic Areas (B) "18350056," Priority Areas (A) "17035087" and "18037068," and Exploratory Research "17655045" from MEXT.

Dedicated to Prof. Teruaki Mukaiyama on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

References and Notes

- a) For examples: M. B. Smith, J. March, Advanced Organic Chemistry, 5th ed., Benjamin, New York, 2001, p. 569. b)
 K. P. C. Vollhardt, N. E. Schore, Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed., Freeman, New York, 1999, p. 1039. c)
 J. Clayden, N. Greeves, S. Warren, P. Wothers, Organic Chemistry, Oxford University, New York, 2001, p. 726. d)
 L. Kürti, B. Czakó, Strategic Applications of Named Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Elsevier, Burlington, 2005, p. 86 and 138.
- a) Y. Tanabe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1989, 62, 1917. b) Y. Yoshida, R. Hayashi, H. Sumihara, Y. Tanabe, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 8727. c) Y. Yoshida, N. Matsumoto, R. Hamasaki, Y. Tanabe, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4227. d) R. Hamasaki, S. Funakoshi, T. Misaki, Y. Tanabe, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 7423. e) Y. Tanabe, R. Hamasaki, S. Funakoshi, Chem. Commun. 2001, 1674. f) Y. Tanabe, A. Makita, S. Funakoshi, R. Hamasaki, T. Kawakusu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2002, 507. g) Y. Tanabe, N. Manta, R. Nagase, T. Misaki, Y. Nishii, M. Sunagawa, A. Sasaki, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 967.
- 3 a) T. Misaki, R. Nagase, K. Matsumoto, Y. Tanabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2854. b) A. Iida, S. Nakazawa, T. Okabayashi, A. Horii, T. Misaki, Y. Tanabe, Org. Lett., in press.
- 4 A. Iida, K. Takai, T. Okabayashi, T. Misaki, Y. Tanabe, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 3171.
- a) Y. Hashimoto, H. Konishi, S. Kikuchi, Synlett 2004, 1264.
 b) H. Kagoshima, Y. Hashimoto, K. Saigo, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8465.
 c) Y. Hashimoto, S. Kikuchi, Chem. Lett. 2002, 126.
- 6 At present, the reason for obtaining of self-condensation product is not so clear.
- DMAP: a) W. Steglich, G. Hoefle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1969,
 8, 981. b) E. F. V. Scriven, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1983, 12, 129. c)
 A. C. Spivey, S. Arseniyadis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004,
 43, 5436.
- 8 N-Methylimidazole: a) A. K. Saha, P. Schultz, H. Rapoport, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4856. b) F. S. Gibson, H. Rapoport, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2615. c) K. Wakasugi, A. Iida, T. Misaki, Y. Nishii, Y. Tanabe, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1209. d) H. Nakatsuji, J. Morita, T. Misaki, Y. Tanabe, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, in press.
- 9 General procedure: PPh₃ (210 mg, 0.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) and TiCl₄ (323 mg, 1.7 mmol) were successively added to a stirred solution of an acid chloride (0.5 mmol), *N*-methylimidazole (49 mg, 0.6 mmol), and an α-bromoester (0.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) at −45−50 °C under an Ar atmosphere, followed by being stirred at same temperature for 1–2 h. The mixture was quenched with water (5.0 mL), which was extracted twice with ether. The combined organic phase was washed with water, brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The obtained crude product was purified by SiO₂-column chromatography (Hexane/Et₂O = 3:1–80:1) to give the desired product.